# VILLAGE OF BUCHANAN BOARD MEETINGS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Municipal Building

236 Tate Avenue

Buchanan, NY 10511

June 26, 2025

7:00 p.m. - 8:00 p.m.

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

Nicolas Zachary, Chairman

Eileen Absenger, Member

Jeffrey Faiella, Member

Kieran Outhouse, Member

# MEMEBERS ABSENT:

Tracey Armisto, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

Marcus Serrano, Village Administrator

Stephanie Porteus, Village Attorney

George Pommer, Village Engineer

Cynthia Kempter, Village Clerk, Treasurer

Sharon Murphy, Deputy Clerk

Domenic Evangelista, Deputy Treasurer

Peter Cook, Building Inspector

#### SPEAKERS:

Pasqualino Carbone

Anthony Carbone

Jim Annicchiarico, Cronin Engineering

Erik Meisner

(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)

MR. NICHOLAS ZACHARY: We're going to start the meeting. Thank you all for being here, if we could all start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

MR. ZACHARY: Thank you. Before we get started, I just want to mention a couple of things. I want to thank the mayor for asking me to take this position. And then I want to thank Jeff, who's been the chair for a few years and did a fine job and I'm sure he's going to continue to do a fine job without being chair. Especially, we'd love to have a board where anybody could be chair. Some people don't like to talk, like me, I don't like to talk. But, you know, I want every member to check the other members and have input and pool our thoughts. And so I'm looking forward to working with the board

2 in this capacity.

And I wanted to mention that I mean I've been uniquely lucky. I had ten years on the zoning board, 12 years on the village board, and so I've had a fair bit of experience, a lot of things come up, you learn a little as you go, if you're not like a devoted politician who studies law and is an especially aware of these things, you learn as a citizen through experience.

And I think that one of the most important things for me, who came with no prior knowledge, was the training, going to state, county, whatever jurisdiction runs the training, it's so important. And when the state law requires planning board members to have four hours of training, I would encourage the board members to go beyond that. When I've gone to somewhere like the New York Planning Federation over a two or three day conference, you could take four or five, six workshops on different topics. I find it very useful. And so, you know. I see we have the village is giving us something to sign, that we're aware of the training

2.4

requirements and attendance requirements and so we can all sign that and give that to you, Sharon?

MR. MARCUS SERRANO: Yeah.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. I'd also ask, I think if everybody has my cell number. I know you'll let administrator Marcus know when you can't be here, but you can shoot me a text so I know also, it might be helpful, I appreciate it. And that's it. So now we can get to our agenda. The first item on our agenda tonight, new business, we have old business. Oh, we have minutes to approve, okay, thank you. We have the minutes from February 27, 2025. Does anybody on the board have any changes or corrections?

MS. EILEEN ABSENGER: No.

MR. ZACHARY: We have planning board Member Kieran Outhouse on Zoom tonight, and planning board Member Tracey Armisto could not make it tonight. She had a conflict. Kieran, you're good on the minutes?

MR. KIERAN OUTHOUSE: Yeah.

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

MR. ZACHARY: Great. A motion to approve

Geneva Worldwide, Inc.

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | the minutes as recorded. I make a motion that we  |
| 3  | approve it.                                       |
| 4  | MR. FAIELLA: Second.                              |
| 5  | MR. ZACHARY: All in favor?                        |
| 6  | MULTIPLE: Aye.                                    |
| 7  | MR. SERRANO: Chairman, if I can make a            |
| 8  | quick little comment. First of all, I appreciate  |
| 9  | the use of the mics. I also want to let you know  |
| 10 | that the Village Board approved a company to      |
| 11 | start transcribing the minutes from now on.       |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                                |
| 13 | MR. SERRANO: So it relieves the staff             |
| 14 | of doing that. So it'll be more almost like Nick, |
| 15 | you remember when we had a stenographer here?     |
| 16 | MR. ZACHARY: Yes.                                 |
| 17 | MR. SERRANO: It'll be the same kind of            |
| 18 | process. So it will be very important to have the |
| 19 | recording, you speak loudly enough so that it can |
| 20 | record it. And you'll have, it'll almost be a     |
| 21 | verbatim minutes from this point forward.         |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: Oh, is that right?                   |
| 23 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah. So                             |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: For all three boards?                |

|    | Page 7  |
|----|---|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
| 2  | MR. SERRANO: For all three boards, yes.           |
| 3  | So I just want to make sure everybody knows so to |
| 4  | make sure if you want to make a statement, make   |
| 5  | sure you want to make it                          |
| 6  | MR. ZACHARY: Verbatim minutes?                    |
| 7  | MR. STEWART: Verbatim minutes, yes.               |
| 8  | MS. ABSENGER: So watch what you say.              |
| 9  | MR. ZACHARY: Does that mean I have to             |
| 10 | watch what I say?                                 |
| 11 | MS. ABSENGER: Yes.                                |
| 12 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah.                                |
| 13 | MR. ZACHARY: Right.                               |
| 14 | MR. SERRANO: I just wanted to let you             |
| 15 | know. Thank you.                                  |
| 16 | MR. ZACHARY: Thanks, thank you, Marcus.           |
| 17 | All right. So the first item of business after    |
| 18 | that is a calendar number PB-2-2025, which was    |
| 19 | previously calendar number 7-2024. It's a request |
| 20 | for second extension for one year from July 26,   |
| 21 | that means until July 26th of 2026?               |
| 22 | MR. SERRANO: Mm-hmm.                              |
| 23 | MR. ZACHARY: To extend the special                |

permit, site plan and steep slopes and

24

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | preliminary submission of plat approval for       |
| 3  | Village Square project at 3095 Albany Post Road.  |
| 4  | This is a site plan we had approved last over a   |
| 5  | year or so now?                                   |
| 6  | MR. SERRANO: Correct.                             |
| 7  | MR. ZACHARY: And they've had some                 |
| 8  | hiccups. This is kind of nuts. You had two people |
| 9  | from the Board of Health where you're looking to  |
| 10 | get clearance from?                               |
| 11 | MR. SERRANO: Why don't you yeah.                  |
| 12 | MR. PASQUALINO CARBONE: Hello,                    |
| 13 | everybody.  |
| 14 | MR. SERRANO: Well, identify                       |
| 15 | MR. ZACHARY: State your name.                     |
| 16 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah.                                |
| 17 | MR. P. CARBONE: Pasqualino Carbone.               |
| 18 | MR. ANTHONY CARBONE: Anthony Carbone.             |
| 19 | MR. P. CARBONE: So when we started at             |
| 20 | the Board of Health, it was being reviewed Chang- |
| 21 | Chang Lee [phonetic] and she reviewed for about   |
| 22 | seven months, and then all of a sudden, she       |
| 23 | disappeared and we found out that she had quit.   |
| 24 | So then our project was reassigned to be reviewed |

by Brian Stape [phonetic]. And he started the whole review process over again. And during comments going back and forth, he didn't look like he knew what he was doing. He even referred to the village engineer, George Pommer, [unintelligible] [00:06:30] our engineer [unintelligible] [00:06:32] he was not, and Marcus knows about some correspondence that go back and forth. So it's a little chaotic what's going on there. All of a sudden, we find out that he also disappeared and went on vacation for three weeks. And upon returning from his vacation, [unintelligible] [00:06:47] quit.

MR. ZACHARY: Must be a fun place to work.

MR. P. CARBONE: So that was another six months. So that's a year at the Board of Health with pretty much nothing going on over there, nothing getting accomplished. So we're asking for a one-year extension, but we hope we don't need the full one year. We want to start. It's out of our control like this.

MR. ZACHARY: And is the county, has

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | they stepped up? Are they proceeding quickly      |
| 3  | [unintelligible] [00:07:20]?                      |
| 4  | MR. P. CARBONE: Well, they've                     |
| 5  | reassigned this now to Lubane Arios [phonetic]    |
| 6  | and he sent his comments, so we're responding to  |
| 7  | his comments right now.                           |
| 8  | MR. ZACHARY: And hopefully, he doesn't            |
| 9  | quit.   |
| 10 | MR. A. CARBONE: Well, we asked him                |
| 11 | [unintelligible] [00:07:34].                      |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: I think, I think                     |
| 13 | [unintelligible] [00:07:35].                      |
| 14 | MR. A. CARBONE: I mean we submitted               |
| 15 | this letter to Albany and everybody in the county |
| 16 | and everybody. Nobody responded to the            |
| 17 | [unintelligible] [00:07:44]. So, I mean.          |
| 18 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                                |
| 19 | MR. A. CARBONE: [unintelligible]                  |
| 20 | [00:07:48] nobody responded. Nobody called us     |
| 21 | from the county.                                  |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Well, I think that             |
| 23 | your unfortunate circumstances, I have no problem |
| 24 | with renewing. It's a good project, and I'm sorry |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | you've had delays. For that, I have no problem    |
| 3  | granting this extension, speaking for myself.     |
| 4  | Does anybody else on the board have any feelings  |
| 5  | about this?                                       |
| 6  | MR. FAIELLA: Mr. Chairman, we gave them           |
| 7  | six months the last time. I think you should go   |
| 8  | back to the six months. So that way if they have  |
| 9  | any problem, they can come back and update the    |
| 10 | board. I think if you move forward with one year, |
| 11 | it's going to get lost.                           |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                                |
| 13 | MR. FAIELLA: Yeah, that's my personal             |
| 14 | view.   |
| 15 | MR. A. CARBONE: The only thing is like            |
| 16 | it's already taken a year. I don't want to come   |
| 17 | back in six months and ask you again. If we have  |
| 18 | the year, then if it takes a little longer, then  |
| 19 | it takes seven months, seven and a half months    |
| 20 | because it's                                      |
| 21 | MR. ZACHARY: Well, six months is more             |
| 22 | normally the extension that would be given        |
| 23 | MR. A. CARBONE: Correct.                          |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: And you're asking for one            |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | year.   |
| 3  | MR. A. CARBONE: That's correct.                   |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: Any other board members              |
| 5  | want to weigh in on this?                         |
| 6  | MS. ABSENGER: What is your problem with           |
| 7  | six months?                                       |
| 8  | MR. A. CARBONE: It's taken a year a               |
| 9  | half.   |
| 10 | MS. ABSENGER: I don't mean well,                  |
| 11 | aside from the Department of Health, do you have  |
| 12 | a problem with six months?                        |
| 13 | MR. A. CARBONE: No, I just don't want             |
| 14 | to come back here and six months telling you, you |
| 15 | know, it's still not done and then I've got to    |
| 16 | start this whole thing again. If we have a year,  |
| 17 | even if it takes three months, we're still okay.  |
| 18 | If it takes seven months, we're still okay, or    |
| 19 | else we'll have to come back here in six months.  |
| 20 | MR. ZACHARY: Well, nothing is changing            |
| 21 | in the plan. It's literally                       |
| 22 | MR. A. CARBONE: Well, it's a                      |
| 23 | subdivision and the sewer line                    |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: Just getting their, the              |

2 approvals from the Department of Health?

MR. A. CARBONE: Every time someone -we think we're done, someone quits and then they
don't start where we finished with the first one.
They start, they all want to write their
comments. So it's about, you know, it's two
separate comments that's taken a lot of time and
a lot --

MR. ZACHARY: That's terrible.

MR. A. CARBONE: I talked to Reuben, and I said, please help me out, you know, I said I need to get this done, we've spent a lot of time on this. I, I -- and he couldn't even find the files. And Ryan told me he was going to leave a hard drive where he stopped and Reuben couldn't find any of that.

MR. P. CARBONE: And he said he would still write a note on the file. And none of his comments are [unintelligible] [00:10:15].

MR. ZACHARY: All right, well, I get the picture of what you're dealing with. Can we write this extension up as a six-month extension with another six months just based on just coming in

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | without having to go through any other paperwork? |
| 3  | Can we give an extension for six months where     |
| 4  | they can just come in and let us know that they   |
| 5  | still are involved with this and we can extend    |
| 6  | it, give it a six months provisional extension    |
| 7  | based on them appearing after six months?         |
| 8  | MR. A. CARBONE: I mean you're going to            |
| 9  | know right away once, given the                   |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: Right.                               |
| 11 | MR. A. CARBONE: [unintelligible]                  |
| 12 | [00:10:48] they sign off.                         |
| 13 | MR. ZACHARY: Right.                               |
| 14 | MR. A. CARBONE: You know, you've got to           |
| 15 | sign off on it and give it to them. You'll know   |
| 16 | right away.                                       |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: I'm trying to structure it           |
| 18 | as two separate six months. So you don't have to  |
| 19 | keep coming in here, but that you could just come |
| 20 | back to one meeting and give us an update in six  |
| 21 | months if it's not, if you're not set yet. Is     |
| 22 | that too complicated?                             |
| 23 | MR. SERRANO: George, do you want to say           |
| 24 | something?  |

|    | Dogo 1   |
|----|--|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
| 2  | MR. GEORGE POMMER: How many extensions?          |
| 3  | MR. SERRANO: There's a second                    |
| 4  | extension.                                       |
| 5  | MS. ABSENGER: There's been one.                  |
| 6  | MR. POMMER: I think                              |
| 7  | MR. SERRANO: There's one before.                 |
| 8  | MR. POMMER: But how many does the code           |
| 9  | allow? I think it allows two. So I think they're |
| 10 | asking for that extra six months to avoid        |
| 11 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah, that's a good point.          |
| 12 | MR. POMMER: You don't want to start,             |
| 13 | you already have two extensions.                 |
| 14 | MR. SERRANO: In the code.                        |
| 15 | MR. POMMER: I believe even if it's               |
| 16 | MR. A. CARBONE: If you're six months,            |
| 17 | and I'm allowed a year, so it's really my first. |
| 18 | MR. POMMER: So he's really adding                |
| 19 | MR. ZACHARY: It's based on giving us a           |
| 20 | six month update.                                |
| 21 | MR. A. CARBONE: I'm not on my second,            |
| 22 | I'm on my I'm still on my first because it's     |
| 23 | the [unintelligible] [00:11:38] I still have a   |
| 24 | year of the original because, [unintelligible]   |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | [00:11:41] year                                  |
| 3  | MR. ZACHARY: Yeah, basically, I'm                |
| 4  | trying to find a way to word it so that it's one |
| 5  |  |
| 6  | MR. A. CARBONE: Yeah, no.                        |
| 7  | MR. ZACHARY: process but you just                |
| 8  | have to give us an update. Is that reasonable?   |
| 9  | MR. POMMER: So it would be a one year            |
| 10 | extension with a                                 |
| 11 | MS. PORTEUS: One year.                           |
| 12 | MR. POMMER: six month comeback.                  |
| 13 | MS. PORTEUS: Comeback, right.                    |
| 14 | MR. POMMER: To give the board an                 |
| 15 | update.  |
| 16 | MR. SERRANO: An update.                          |
| 17 | MS. PORTEUS: That's what I have.                 |
| 18 | MR. ZACHARY: All right.                          |
| 19 | MS. PORTEUS: It would really be one              |
| 20 | year, but again [unintelligible] [00:11:58].     |
| 21 | MR. A. CARBONE: Yeah, we certainly               |
| 22 | don't want to have                               |
| 23 | [CROSSTALK]                                      |
| 24 | MR. A. CARBONE: You'll know as soon as           |
|    |  |

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

|          | Page 1  |
|----------|---|
| 1        | June 26, 2025   |
| 2        | I I'm going to come to you with a                           |
| 3        | [unintelligible] [00:12:07] and say sign it, and            |
| 4        | then you'll know it's                                       |
| 5        | MS. PORTEUS: Right. Okay.                                   |
| 6        | MR. ZACHARY: All right. We definitely                       |
| 7        | don't want to get into something where your                 |
| 8        | extensions are up and have to come back and apply           |
| 9        | again.  |
| 10       | MR. A. CARBONE: Yeah. If I was to                           |
| 11       | [unintelligible] [00:12:14] year and six months             |
| 12       | it's still pending [unintelligible] [00:12:18]              |
| 13       | the first extension.  |
| 14       | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So we're basically,                      |
| 15       | <pre>basically, basically [unintelligible] [00:12:23]</pre> |
| 16       | one-year extension, but there's a six-month                 |
| 17       | update.   |
| 18       | MS. PORTEUS: Sure, yeah.                                    |
| 19       | MR. ZACHARY: Is that okay?                                  |
| 20       | MR. FAIELLA: That's fine.                                   |
| 21       | MS. PORTEUS: Yeah.  |
| 22       | MR. FAIELLA: No problem.                                    |
| 23       | MR. ZACHARY: All in favor of giving out                     |
| 24       | a one-year extension where we get updated in six            |
| <u> </u> | a one year excension where we get updated in SIX            |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | months, could I have a motion to that effect?    |
| 3  | MS. ABSENGER: So moved.                          |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: Do we need a motion for             |
| 5  | this?  |
| 6  | MS. ABSENGER: I'll motion.                       |
| 7  | MR. SERRANO: Yes.                                |
| 8  | MS. ABSENGER: Yeah, yeah. And we'll              |
| 9  | give them a one-year extension with an update in |
| 10 | six months.                                      |
| 11 | MR. ZACHARY: Second?                             |
| 12 | MR. FAIELLA: Second.                             |
| 13 | MR. A. CARBONE: [unintelligible]                 |
| 14 | [00:12:56] months, still we will be going on to  |
| 15 | the second. This would be a third extension.     |
| 16 | MR. ZACHARY: Six months.                         |
| 17 | MR. SERRANO: Right. They're saying you           |
| 18 | can't get a third extension.                     |
| 19 | MR. A. CARBONE: But I'm still on my              |
| 20 | first, correct?                                  |
| 21 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah. When did the six              |
| 22 | month when did it expire?                        |
| 23 | MS. SHARON MURPHY: July 25th.                    |
| 24 | MS. PORTEUS: Okay.                               |
|    |  |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. A. CARBONE: If I'm allowed one year           |
| 3  | and we only took the first six months of the      |
| 4  | first one, I'm still under my first extension.    |
| 5  | MR. SERRANO: So this extension would be           |
| 6  | as of July 27th.                                  |
| 7  | MR. A. CARBONE: Do you understand what            |
| 8  | I'm saying?                                       |
| 9  | MR. SERRANO: Yeah. So, this extension             |
| 10 | will be starting as of July 27th and it expires   |
| 11 | on the 26th.                                      |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: We'll extend for a year              |
| 13 | starting July 26th.                               |
| 14 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah.                                |
| 15 | MR. A. CARBONE: I do? Or I have like              |
| 16 | six months but then                               |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: No. You have a year. We're           |
| 18 | just asking for, we're making a condition of that |
| 19 | that you come in six months and                   |
| 20 | MR. A. CARBONE: I just don't want to              |
| 21 | lose my   |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: Right.                               |
| 23 | MR. A. CARBONE: Now if it takes longer,           |
| 24 | I don't want to say I'm going on my third         |

1 June 26, 2025 2 extension. 3 MR. ZACHARY: That's the idea, as George 4 pointed out, a third extension would get put into conflict with the code. We don't want to go 5 there. So you're getting the one year. We're just 6 7 requiring a six month update. 8 MR. A. CARBONE: Okay. Hopefully, it'll 9 be done in the next couple of months, or before. 10 MR. ZACHARY: Yeah, we all hope that. 11 MR. A. CARBONE: Yeah. I don't know how 12 hard it is. I mean he was asking us to define 13 subdivision, and we're like here it is, it's on 14 the front, it's on the thing, it says slot two, 15 and he couldn't understand. 16 MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Well, it's likely --17 MR. A. CARBONE: I don't know if he 18 wanted it highlighted, or he wanted something. 19 And my surveyor say he goes I've never heard of -20 - we just did a subdivision in [unintelligible] 21 [00:14:31] and we did it the same way I'm doing 22 it now, you approved that. Why do you want it 23 different now? And this was from the second 24 engineer. Then he quit.

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. ZACHARY: All right. Hopefully you             |
| 3  | can work this out. If anything changes, where     |
| 4  | they're forcing you to change anything on your    |
| 5  | site plan, just let us know.                      |
| 6  | MR. A. CARBONE: I don't think it is, I            |
| 7  | think he just want to make sure you know, and     |
| 8  | when everybody starts, they don't want to, they   |
| 9  | [unintelligible] [00:14:51] somebody else         |
| 10 | [unintelligible] [00:14:53]. They're going to put |
| 11 | their name on it, so. I can understand that, but  |
| 12 | can you just do it quickly. And so I              |
| 13 | [unintelligible] [00:15:00].                      |
| 14 | MR. ZACHARY: All right, well, good luck           |
| 15 | with that.  |
| 16 | MR. A. CARBONE: Yeah.                             |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: See you in six months, or            |
| 18 | maybe not.  |
| 19 | MR. A. CARBONE: [unintelligible]                  |
| 20 | [00:15:07] too.                                   |
| 21 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. All right, thank               |
| 22 | you.  |
| 23 | MR. A. CARBONE: Okay, thank you.                  |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: Thanks again.                        |

2

1

# [CROSSTALK]

MR. ZACHARY: Our next item is calendar number PB-3-2025, previously calendar number 4-2024, [unintelligible] [00:15:34] year, site plan application, which was revised for 3229 Albany Post Road. And in the interim, the applicant has applied for certain variances and I'll let you, if you'd like to give a little rundown on it?

101112

MR. JIM ANNICCHIARICO: Sure. Jim

Annicchiarico with Cronin Engineering. It's great
seeing everybody again. Congratulations to you,

Nick.

14

13

MR. ZACHARY: Thank you.

1516

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: For your

17

[unintalligible] [00.16.07] So as you sa

appointment. Thank you, Jeff, for the

18

[unintelligible] [00:16:07]. So as you said,

19

Nick, we are back to kind of update the board on what's going on. We were last before the planning

20

board September 26th of '23. At that point, we

21

[unintelligible] [00:16:27] variance with

22

[unintelligible] [00:16:31] things and the board

23

sent us to seek those variances from the zoning

24

board. We made a zoning board application shortly

after that meeting. And at the February 12, 2025
ZBA meeting, we were granted three variances. One
was for a side yard setback, one for lot
coverage, from 50 percent to 70 percent and a
third for yard screening fence height from six
feet to ten feet. And we received all that.

Since that time, the Village Board, as I'm sure you're aware, has also changed the coverage in the M-1 zone to be more consistent with the C-1 and C-2. So it is now 75 percent.

The plans that we submitted to you, we increased our ask to 75 percent, just because that was the code [unintelligible] [00:17:37].

So, there was also some discussion about the new and the existing or preexisting nonconforming house. I believe that's squared away, since the owner occupies it and the office, it's now to the business on site. So that's my understanding. So, George and I had a conversation today. There was a memo way back.

MR. ZACHARY: Excuse me, George, our engineer?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: George Pommer, yeah,

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | sorry. And I kind of went over that. Many of the  |
| 3  | items have since been addressed. You know,        |
| 4  | certain comments were about the variances and     |
| 5  | things like that.                                 |
| 6  | So some of them I don't think apply               |
| 7  | anymore and some of them I would like to sit down |
| 8  | with George, maybe even at the site and go over   |
| 9  | them. A thorough, you know, just of technical     |
| 10 | nature, but I would like to do that,              |
| 11 | [unintelligible] [00:18:55] to be able to address |
| 12 | them, make one more, hopefully one more           |
| 13 | submission to your board and hopefully get your   |
| 14 | approval for the site plan.                       |
| 15 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Well, I think that             |
| 16 | [unintelligible] [00:19:14] then before we get to |
| 17 | the public hearing. Let me just ask did we        |
| 18 | have a public                                     |
| 19 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'm hoping,                    |
| 20 | honestly, I don't know if we ever had it.         |
| 21 | MR. SERRANO: No.                                  |
| 22 | MR. FAIELLA: No, we did not have a                |
| 23 | public hearing.                                   |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: So a few things. I just              |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | want to back up and do a little reviewing here.  |
| 3  | Early on, there was a list of items from the     |
| 4  | building inspector that were sent to you, and    |
| 5  | there was a reply, as to whether those things    |
| 6  | were being addressed or what the issues were.    |
| 7  | I'll ask you at this time, are there any         |
| 8  | outstanding issues still that have not been      |
| 9  | addressed?                                       |
| 10 | MR. COOK: Okay, relocated the office,            |
| 11 | [unintelligible] [00:20:03].                     |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: I'm sorry [unintelligible]          |
| 13 | [00:20:00]?                                      |
| 14 | MR. COOK: Moved the office into the              |
| 15 | building, trailers, [unintelligible] [00:20:06]  |
| 16 | shortly after that. [unintelligible] [00:20:11]  |
| 17 | building, accessory building. [unintelligible]   |
| 18 | [00:20:17] after that, things of that nature, so |
| 19 | they're working on it.                           |
| 20 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So there's not                |
| 21 | MR. SERRANO: Wasn't there a question             |
| 22 | about some kind of engineer for the garage or    |
| 23 | something, doors or something like that?         |
| 24 | MR. COOK: [unintelligible] [00:20:27]            |

| 1  | Page 2  |
|----|---|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
| 2  | garage doors.                                     |
| 3  | MR. SERRANO: Did you provide that                 |
| 4  | information back yet?                             |
| 5  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Uh                             |
| 6  | MR. SERRANO: You did? Your client is              |
| 7  | saying yes, so.                                   |
| 8  | MR. ERIK MEISNER: For the second door             |
| 9  | that we installed [unintelligible] [00:20:34].    |
| 10 | MR. SERRANO: Okay. Okay.                          |
| 11 | MR. ZACHARY: So no major hurdles there            |
| 12 | that [unintelligible] [00:20:44] this. I want to  |
| 13 | go on to this, the three story building two or    |
| 14 | three story building that is on site. And I       |
| 15 | MS. ABSENGER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 16 | [00:20:57].                                       |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: Huh?                                 |
| 18 | MS. ABSENGER: It's not, it's two.                 |
| 19 | MR. ZACHARY: The yes, well, that's                |
| 20 | part of what I want to address. There's an issue  |
| 21 | with the residence in the building. But before I, |
| 22 | you know, tell you how I feel about that and ask  |
| 23 | the attorney for her opinion on that, the site    |
| 24 | plan refers to this is as an existing two-story   |
|    |   |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | brick dwelling. But everything in the application |
| 3  | refers to it as three stories. Can I can you      |
| 4  | explain that.                                     |
| 5  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I think it's three             |
| 6  | stories based on the term [unintelligible]        |
| 7  | [00:21:37] by the building department, but that   |
| 8  | existing two-story brick dwelling is what         |
| 9  | [unintelligible] [00:21:43].                      |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. So, so it's actually           |
| 11 | three stories?                                    |
| 12 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I think the third              |
| 13 | floor is attic space.                             |
| 14 | MR. ZACHARY: So the third story is                |
| 15 | attic space?                                      |
| 16 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.                          |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: Accessible attic space               |
| 18 | that you want to use for storage?                 |
| 19 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That is just                   |
| 20 | storage, correct.                                 |
| 21 | MR. ZACHARY: And storage of what?                 |
| 22 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Files for the                  |
| 23 | business, a place to store [unintelligible]       |
| 24 | [00:22:04].                                       |
|    |   |

MR. ZACHARY: Files, so paperwork, not like truck parts?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No.

MR. ZACHARY: Just office related stuff. So, I have an issue with a residence being there. It's not allowed by code, it is grandfathered in technically.

MS. PORTEUS: Mm-hmm.

MR. ZACHARY: But I think it's an inappropriate use for that site and my feeling is it should go. And whether or not there's been, I might there be some issues that the -- I'll let the attorney clarify, but, but I don't think it's, you know, there's no other place in the village where we have an apartment on a commercial property like this. And, you know, in my mind, that is something that I would like to see eliminated from this site plan. So, maybe you can use it for, you know, more office space, for storage. But I don't think that's an appropriate use on this site.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, I mean all I can say it's not something that my clients want

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | to consider. You know, if we have to go if we   |
| 3  | go into a more legal territory that I can't     |
| 4  | handle, you know, I'm going to have to advise   |
| 5  | them to get their attorney involved.            |
| 6  | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Well, let me ask             |
| 7  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I've done my best up         |
| 8  | to this point to answer the question.           |
| 9  | MR. ZACHARY: Yeah. Okay, well, I, I             |
| 10 | know, I [unintelligible] [00:23:53].            |
| 11 | MR. SERRANO: It's up to the majority.           |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: But there is some there            |
| 13 | are some issues with can you help out on        |
| 14 | [unintelligible] [00:23:56], Stephanie?         |
| 15 | MS. PORTEUS: Well, I'm curious as to            |
| 16 | your opinion, because this is a preexisting,    |
| 17 | nonconforming single family residence, right?   |
| 18 | MR. ZACHARY: Right.                             |
| 19 | MS. PORTEUS: And so you can office              |
| 20 | space if it's incidental to the use on the      |
| 21 | premises, which is [unintelligible] [00:24:09]. |
| 22 | And they're living on the are you residing in   |
| 23 | the house?                                      |
| 24 | UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: [unintelligible]           |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | [00:24:14].                                      |
| 3  | MS. PORTEUS: Okay. So, family members            |
| 4  | living there, and they're not using the third    |
| 5  | story except for storage. So, it's like to me,   |
| 6  | you can have a single-family residence in our    |
| 7  | village with an office, right, space. This is    |
| 8  | nonconforming, preexisting. I don't know how you |
| 9  | tell them they can't do it.                      |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: Well, I don't think we              |
| 11 | have that in any of the, any of the village.     |
| 12 | MS. PORTEUS: Not in that zone.                   |
| 13 | MR. ZACHARY: In M-1 or [unintelligible]          |
| 14 | [00:24:36].                                      |
| 15 | MS. PORTEUS: Well, they unzoned it,              |
| 16 | right.   |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: We have it in the C-1 or            |
| 18 | C-2.   |
| 19 | MS. PORTEUS: Yeah.                               |
| 20 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And now this                  |
| 21 | MS. PORTEUS: Go ahead, you were going            |
| 22 | to say something?                                |
| 23 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I would add that              |
| 24 | it's consistent with previous use by             |
|    |  |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | [unintelligible] [00:24:52] Yassi [phonetic], who |
| 3  | had his office on the first floor.                |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: Was there a tenant in                |
| 5  | there when you took when you took the property    |
| 6  | and is that still the tenant that's there? And if |
| 7  | I were to ask for proof that there has been no    |
| 8  | lapse in the use of this, is that something you'd |
| 9  | be able to prove by bringing me utility bills?    |
| 10 | MR. MEISNER: I believe you guys have              |
| 11 | already did that. I thought you guys already did  |
| 12 | that. You check our water usage to the house      |
| 13 | MS. PORTEUS: Yes.                                 |
| 14 | MR. MEISNER: to make sure that we                 |
| 15 | didn't have any lapse. I thought the town had     |
| 16 | already went through all [unintelligible]         |
| 17 | [00:25:27].                                       |
| 18 | MR. ZACHARY: I'm not sure if water use            |
| 19 | is  |
| 20 | MR. MEISNER: Well, we were told that              |
| 21 | you guys were going to check the water usage to   |
| 22 | the house to see [unintelligible] [00:25:33].     |
| 23 | MS. PORTEUS: [unintelligible]                     |
| 24 | [00:25:34].                                       |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. MEISNER: That's what we were                 |
| 3  | [unintelligible] [00:25:36].                     |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: Do we have the ability to           |
| 5  | do that? And is that a definitive                |
| 6  | MR. SERRANO: Yeah, we checked the water          |
| 7  | bill. There was usage in the property.           |
| 8  | MR. ZACHARY: But is the water usage the          |
| 9  | office and the residence or is it                |
| 10 | MR. MEISNER: It's just the house. The            |
| 11 | water usage of the building [unintelligible]     |
| 12 | [00:25:50].                                      |
| 13 | MR. SERRANO: Is there one meter for the          |
| 14 | entire property or separate meter for the house? |
| 15 | MR. MEISNER: It's separate.                      |
| 16 | MR. SERRANO: Cindy, do we have a                 |
| 17 | number?  |
| 18 | MS. CYNTHIA KEMPTER: It's one meter for          |
| 19 | the [unintelligible] [00:26:00].                 |
| 20 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah. Yeah. So it's one             |
| 21 | meter.   |
| 22 | MR. MEISNER: For the entire property.            |
| 23 | MS. KEMPTER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 24 | [00:26:06].                                      |
|    |  |

1 June 26, 2025 2 MR. ZACHARY: And why, why are you wed 3 to having a rental on a three-quarter acre truck 4 repair site? 5 MR. MEISNER: Sorry, I didn't get that. MR. ZACHARY: Why are so wed to having 6 7 the apartment there, if it's a three-quarter acre 8 truck repair? 9 [CROSSTALK] 10 MR. MEISNER: The way it was, because 11 that's the way it was when we purchased the 12 property. 13 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I mean it's, it's 14 valuable, right? And I'm not saying for an income 15 step, but it's a valuable commodity. So I don't, 16 I mean I don't think, in my opinion, my opinion, 17 to lose a affordable living space in the village 18 is not a good thing. Again, it's not about making 19 money [unintelligible] [00:26:59] that's not 20 [unintelligible] [00:27:00] able to 21 [unintelligible] [00:27:01].

> MR. ZACHARY: All right. Well maybe I, you know, we can --

22

23

24

MR. MEISNER: Maybe if I decided to

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | actually [unintelligible] [00:27:05].             |
| 3  | [CROSSTALK]                                       |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: All right, well okay. I              |
| 5  | mean so I may not have any legal way to force the |
| 6  | issue. I just don't think it's an appropriate use |
| 7  | for that property there. That may just be my      |
| 8  | opinion and nothing that could [unintelligible]   |
| 9  | [00:27:34] into the [unintelligible] [00:27:38].  |
| 10 | So, let me open this up to the other board        |
| 11 | members for comments and questions.               |
| 12 | [unintelligible] [00:27:49].                      |
| 13 | MS. ABSENGER: Tell me how many                    |
| 14 | employees do you have? Would you get up to the    |
| 15 | microphone, because we're not going to be able to |
| 16 | get a verbatim of [unintelligible] [00:28:00].    |
| 17 | MR. MEISNER: Yeah, [unintelligible]               |
| 18 | [00:28:00] and we have ten employees.             |
| 19 | MS. ABSENGER: Ten employees?                      |
| 20 | MR. MEISNER: Including myself, yes.               |
| 21 | MS. ABSENGER: And can somebody tell me,           |
| 22 | there's four spaces [unintelligible] [00:28:13]   |
| 23 | is that sufficient for ten employees? Anybody?    |
| 24 | Ten employees and there's four spaces, which are  |

| 1  | Page 35   |
|----|---|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
| 2  | existing trailer                                  |
| 3  | MR. SERRANO: Where are your employees             |
| 4  | parking?  |
| 5  | MR. MEISNER: Where are we going to put            |
| 6  | it?   |
| 7  | MR. SERRANO: Where are your employees             |
| 8  | parking on the property?                          |
| 9  | MR. MEISNER: Oh, where are they parking           |
| 10 | right now? Well, half of our employees don't      |
| 11 | drive.  |
| 12 | MR. SERRANO: That's that. That's what I           |
| 13 | was trying to find out.                           |
| 14 | MR. MEISNER: Okay. Yeah. I think five,            |
| 15 | six, six of our employees don't even drive. They  |
| 16 | don't have licenses.                              |
| 17 | MS. ABSENGER: How do they get there?              |
| 18 | MR. MEISNER: They take rides or they              |
| 19 | take a bus, or they have a bike.                  |
| 20 | MR. ZACHARY: Or they live on-site.                |
| 21 | MR. MEISNER: They live in the basement.           |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: Well, what the habit of              |
| 23 | your employees are at the moment is not in fact - |
| 24 | - what matters is how many parking spots are      |
|    |   |

1 June 26, 2025 2 required in code. 3 MS. ABSENGER: Right. That's what I'm 4 looking. 5 MR. ZACHARY: And I'd have to check that. I don't know it offhand. If there's ten 6 7 employees, what the requirement would be? Or is 8 that something that --9 MR. COOK: It's not so much commercial. 10 We have it based on retail use, and parking in 11 restaurant, commercial use [unintelligible] 12 [00:29:26] is the only parking requirement we have. I don't know what the code is. Retail use 13 14 of parking and restaurant parking, so many spaces 15 for [unintelligible] [00:29:34] seats. But 16 commercial use --17 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So typically there 18 would be, right, there would be, there would be a 19 a parking requirement for office space, right, 20 based on how big your office is. They're not a 21 very big office. [unintelligible] [00:29:51] 22 that's going to be. And then I would assume -- do 23 you want me to look into it?

MR. SERRANO: And the residential use.

24

|    | $\blacksquare$                                    |
|----|---|
| 1  | Page 3<br>June 26, 2025                           |
| 2  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Three spots next to            |
| 3  | the residence, four behind.                       |
| 4  | MR. SERRANO: That's seven.                        |
| 5  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We'll go ahead and             |
| 6  | check that.                                       |
| 7  | MR. SERRANO: Yeah. You got to hold a              |
| 8  | public hearing still on it.                       |
| 9  | [CROSSTALK]                                       |
| 10 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The other parking              |
| 11 | requirement would be if the code has something    |
| 12 | for automotive, based on square footage, I would  |
| 13 | assume as well. So                                |
| 14 | MR. ZACHARY: All right.                           |
| 15 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: So, both of those              |
| 16 | items, we can come up with a parking chart and I  |
| 17 | would imagine it would show that we would require |
| 18 | less than what we had [unintelligible]            |
| 19 | [00:30:36], the amount of employees we have. But  |
| 20 | I would say, you know, then I would ask that you  |
| 21 | take into account that most of the employees      |
| 22 | don't drive there. And I'll tell you, and we can  |
| 23 | tell you exactly                                  |
| 24 | MR. FAIELLA: We just need to know what            |
|    |   |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | our code [unintelligible] [00:30:52] whether all |
| 3  | the people come in a car or none.                |
| 4  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.                        |
| 5  | MR. FAIELLA: It's not contingent on              |
| 6  | their habits, it's a the code                    |
| 7  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, understood.             |
| 8  | MR. FAIELLA: that would carry                    |
| 9  | through to matter who had [unintelligible]       |
| 10 | [00:31:00].                                      |
| 11 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: What I'm saying is            |
| 12 | that number, in my opinion, just knowing how     |
| 13 | those codes are written, it'll probably be less  |
| 14 | than the actual number of employees that we have |
| 15 | honestly.  |
| 16 | MR. FAIELLA: Yeah. I'm not, I'm not              |
| 17 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: But I know the                |
| 18 | planning boards have the ability to, you know,   |
| 19 | vary [unintelligible] [00:31:15].                |
| 20 | MR. FAIELLA: I'm not thinking we hae a           |
| 21 | big issue here, but we'll double check it.       |
| 22 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Sure.                         |
| 23 | MR. ZACHARY: Anything else?                      |
| 24 | MR. SERRANO: Well, just to make a                |
|    |  |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | point, I think for the public hearing, Jim, you   |
| 3  | have to give a chart of what's required and what  |
| 4  | you have for the plan and then it will be         |
| 5  | checked, but it should come from the applicant.   |
| 6  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay, done, thank              |
| 7  | you.  |
| 8  | MR. SERRANO: No problem.                          |
| 9  | MR. ZACHARY: You wanted to speak                  |
| 10 | Eileen?   |
| 11 | MS. ABSENGER: Yeah. Okay. Up on top,              |
| 12 | you said location existing [unintelligible]       |
| 13 | [00:31:50] to be replaces with new building. So   |
| 14 | I'm presuming from the picture, right, the        |
| 15 | [unintelligible] [00:31:57] here, that your       |
| 16 | stripes are going to go in front of the fence     |
| 17 | that you're going to move closer to the road?     |
| 18 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct.                       |
| 19 | MS. ABSENGER: Okay. And will that be              |
| 20 | [unintelligible] [00:32:09] from [unintelligible] |
| 21 | [00:32:09] well, across that and back, a          |
| 22 | sidewalk? Is that the new building will be, the   |
| 23 | new building will [unintelligible] [00:32:17] on? |
| 24 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: The 33-foot setback            |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | from the center line of the [unintelligible]      |
| 3  | [00:32:21] road comes to about the back of the    |
| 4  | sidewalk.   |
| 5  | MS. ABSENGER: So [unintelligible]                 |
| 6  | [00:32:25] on the sidewalk?                       |
| 7  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct.                       |
| 8  | MS. ABSENGER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 9  | [00:32:30] and then the fencing is going to be    |
| 10 | there also?                                       |
| 11 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Fencing is right               |
| 12 | where we show it, just behind the property.       |
| 13 | MS. ABSENGER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 14 | [00:32:36].                                       |
| 15 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That's what we                 |
| 16 | received, the guidance from the planning board.   |
| 17 | MS. ABSENGER: All right. So                       |
| 18 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I mean, I'm sorry,             |
| 19 | the ZBA.  |
| 20 | MS. ABSENGER: All the trucks that are             |
| 21 | in front of the in back of the fence right        |
| 22 | now, are they, are they there? So you're going to |
| 23 | bring more trucks in by moving the fence?         |
| 24 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: They are likely                |

1 June 26, 2025 2 going to be able to easily fit the trucks that they're working on in a better, in a more 3 4 efficient way. 5 MS. ABSENGER: More efficient way. Okay. So the other day, at the zoning board, you had 6 7 Landy [phonetic] LLC come in and talk about putting ten townhouses across the street. I just 8 9 want to make my position is that if those 10 townhouses have to look at all these trucks, 11 increased trucks, [unintelligible] [00:33:35]. 12 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's next to a 13 commercial zone, so you buy a piece of property 14 next to a commercial zone and --15 MS. ABSENGER: And I agree with you. 16 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: -- we're going to 17 build something residential, then you should be 18 aware that you're going to see, likely see the 19 commercial aspect, you know, that's going on in 20 that [unintelligible] [00:33:50]. 21 MS. ABSENGER: Okay. So how much taller 22 are the trucks than that ten-foot fence? 23 MR. ANNICCHIARICO: They're not. That's 2.4 why we asked for the ten foot.

MS. ABSENGER: What about the bus I saw come in there? That's got to be more than ten feet? What about a commercial bus?

MR. MEISNER: The average height of a bus is about that, 10'2". And when we were across the street --

MR. SERRANO: Go to the mic, please, sir.

MR. MEISNER: So when I was across the street, I was across the street for 25 years.

When I was across the street, the building inspector [unintelligible] [00:34:22]. The town asked us to put up those ten-foot fences so that you wouldn't see the trucks over the existing six-foot fences. So that was always what we were trying to duplicate over here. So we wanted, we asked for the ten-foot fences so that it's still not, so it's not so much of a view from the road, so you see [unintelligible] [00:34:38].

MS. ABSENGER: Okay. So the other sixfoot fence that you have here, that's where the parking spaces would go?

MR. MEISNER: Well, that's just the

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
|    |   |
| 2  | other side of the property.                       |
| 3  | MS. ABSENGER: Right.                              |
| 4  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct. What we're            |
| 5  | trying to   |
| 6  | MS. ABSENGER: I'm not by the railroad             |
| 7  | track. I'm now                                    |
| 8  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: No.                            |
| 9  | MS. ABSENGER: coming down because                 |
| 10 | now it's a six-foot fence.                        |
| 11 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That's correct. But            |
| 12 | that's on the side. So that, you're talking about |
| 13 | south of the existing house, correct?             |
| 14 | MS. ABSENGER: Correct.                            |
| 15 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Okay. That is a six-           |
| 16 | foot fence. That's existing. Right.               |
| 17 | MR. MEISNER: But that's all blocked by            |
| 18 | trees.  |
| 19 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And that is all                |
| 20 | there are many trees                              |
| 21 | MS. ABSENGER: It's blocked by trees?              |
| 22 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: in between there.              |
| 23 | MS. ABSENGER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 24 | [00:35:12].                                       |
|    |   |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Plus, plus that,              |
| 3  | that area  |
| 4  | MS. ABSENGER: And we need to know                |
| 5  | what's going on.                                 |
| 6  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: is much higher                |
| 7  | than [unintelligible] [00:35:20].                |
| 8  | MS. ABSENGER: Okay. And how many                 |
| 9  | employees are you going to have in the office?   |
| 10 | MR. MEISNER: Office?                             |
| 11 | MS. ABSENGER: Yeah.                              |
| 12 | MR. MEISNER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 13 | [00:35:30].                                      |
| 14 | MS. ABSENGER: You have four? Do they             |
| 15 | drive also or not?                               |
| 16 | MR. MEISNER: Two of them are my wife             |
| 17 | and I, the other two drive, yes.                 |
| 18 | MS. ABSENGER: Is there what are you              |
| 19 | providing [unintelligible] [00:35:50] parking?   |
| 20 | MR. MEISNER: Yes.                                |
| 21 | MS. ABSENGER: Lighting inside the                |
| 22 | apartment, no. What is your outside lighting for |
| 23 | the evening?                                     |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: For access.                         |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. MEISNER: We do have lights. Yeah,             |
| 3  | we have outside lights. We're okay on the lights. |
| 4  | MS. ABSENGER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 5  | [00:36:08] or motion?                             |
| 6  | MR. MEISNER: Motion lights.                       |
| 7  | MS. ABSENGER: Yes. Okay. That's great.            |
| 8  | [unintelligible] [00:36:17] fire department, they |
| 9  | didn't have any feedback on this site plan? Or    |
| 10 | have you asked for the fire department's          |
| 11 | UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [unintelligible]               |
| 12 | [00:36:25].                                       |
| 13 | MS. ABSENGER: As you increase this                |
| 14 | footage for more trucks and stuff? Just, you      |
| 15 | know, a question, I want to know.                 |
| 16 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'm happy for the              |
| 17 | fire department to weigh in and I've really had a |
| 18 | hard time getting the fire department to weigh in |
| 19 | on it.  |
| 20 | MS. ABSENGER: I know.                             |
| 21 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: If the village wants           |
| 22 | to, you know, ask that question directly to them, |
| 23 | I'd be glad for you to do that.                   |
| 24 | MR. SERRANO: John, just to go on the              |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | record, if you can supply email that you sent to  |
| 3  | the fire chief or something, so I have something  |
| 4  | on the record that you reached out to him. If     |
| 5  | they don't want to respond, that's fine. But at   |
| 6  | least an email or something. That way, we can put |
| 7  | it on the record.                                 |
| 8  | MR. ZACHARY: Do you have any                      |
| 9  | MR. FAIELLA: No, I have nothing right             |
| 10 | now.  |
| 11 | MR. ZACHARY: Nothing right now.                   |
| 12 | MR. FLEMING: Nothing right now.                   |
| 13 | MR. ZACHARY: Kieran, do you have any              |
| 14 | questions at the moment?                          |
| 15 | MR. OUTHOUSE: I do. So, when Pete was             |
| 16 | talking, I didn't hear what he said, because my   |
| 17 | speakers are terrible. So could he just           |
| 18 | reiterate? I know there's some code issues, and I |
| 19 | know Nick you asked it, but I didn't hear. Were   |
| 20 | those rectified? I apologize. I couldn't hear it. |
| 21 | MR. COOK: Yeah, I think they                      |
| 22 | [unintelligible] [00:37:33] as being rectified,   |
| 23 | yes.  |
| 24 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I also made, one of            |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | the first things I did was outline all those, all |
| 3  | the violations, how we were going to address      |
| 4  | them.   |
| 5  | MR. COOK: Yes.                                    |
| 6  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And then I believe I           |
| 7  | updated that at some point. And I think since     |
| 8  | then, they have taken care of the last few things |
| 9  | that were remaining on that list.                 |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                                |
| 11 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: But maybe Pete and I           |
| 12 | can get together tomorrow                         |
| 13 | MR. SERRANO: Good idea.                           |
| 14 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: or next week and               |
| 15 | just confirm that they've all been taken care of. |
| 16 | MR. SERRANO: Yeah, that's good, before            |
| 17 | the public hearing.                               |
| 18 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And I can submit               |
| 19 | recommendations about that to the                 |
| 20 | [unintelligible] [00:38:10].                      |
| 21 | MR. ZACHARY: I don't know if I saw the            |
| 22 | second update. I have the questions and the list  |
| 23 | of responses. But so, you guys are going to get   |
| 24 | together  |

2

1

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.

3

4 5 meet again, then we get an update on the plan.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. ZACHARY: -- between now and when we

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: And I can make that part of my submission.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. I want to go back to the apartment Eileen asked about lighting that's been [unintelligible] [00:38:41]. I was wondering where the, there's a gate across the entrance to the facility that I assume gets locked when you're not there working. And is there, what is the entrance for the apartment? Is there a separate gate? And when -- would the person in that apartment always be affiliated with your business? So would, so anybody that you rent it to would have, they'd have access to the grounds?

MR. MEISNER: Well, the house is kind of on the corner right there. The gate is past that, so you can access the building. We're not blocking off access to the house, so anyone in the house doesn't have access to the gate.

MR. ZACHARY: Oh, so you can walk right up to the house?

|    | Page 49   |
|----|---|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
| 2  | MR. MEISNER: Right.                               |
| 3  | MR. ZACHARY: There's no separate gate.            |
| 4  | MR. MEISNER: Correct.                             |
| 5  | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. But their parking,             |
| 6  | having to get their parking in and out when, when |
| 7  | you're not there working?                         |
| 8  | MR. MEISNER: Well, again, they don't              |
| 9  | have a vehicle. But they would have parking       |
| 10 | beside the house.                                 |
| 11 | MS. ABSENGER: And you're renting to a             |
| 12 | person who doesn't drive?                         |
| 13 | MR. MEISNER: They work there.                     |
| 14 | MS. ABSENGER: [unintelligible]                    |
| 15 | [00:39:50]? Oh, they have to be renting, right?   |
| 16 | MR. MEISNER: That's just, yeah.                   |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: So                                   |
| 18 | MS. ABSENGER: What if they                        |
| 19 | [unintelligible] [00:39:58]?                      |
| 20 | [CROSSTALK]                                       |
| 21 | MR. MEISNER: We'll drive                          |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: So, you're not really                |
| 23 | treating it as an apartment, just as a rental for |
| 24 | any general member of the public, you're using it |
|    | ii  |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | as a residence for employees?                     |
| 3  | MR. MEISNER: Well, family. We were                |
| 4  | renting it to the general public. We were asked   |
| 5  | not to do that, because [unintelligible]          |
| 6  | [00:40:17]. So we got rid of all those tenants,   |
| 7  | because of [unintelligible] [00:40:22]. Would we  |
| 8  | rent to somebody in the general public moving     |
| 9  | forward? Probably not, because of the, because of |
| 10 | the property.                                     |
| 11 | MR. ZACHARY: Right. That's kind of                |
| 12 | where I was going with my question.               |
| 13 | MR. MEISNER: Yes, no. I wouldn't want             |
| 14 | to rent it to somebody with children, something   |
| 15 | like that. That's not something that we would.    |
| 16 | MR. ZACHARY: Yeah.                                |
| 17 | MR. MEISNER: But that tenant does have            |
| 18 | access to the house without having to cross the   |
| 19 | gate [unintelligible] [00:40:41].                 |
| 20 | MR. ZACHARY: And if they, at some                 |
| 21 | point, got their driver's license and said look,  |
| 22 | I've got a car, they could park it outside.       |
| 23 | MR. MEISNER: Yes.                                 |
| 24 | MR. ZACHARY: They don't have to get               |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | inside the gate.                                 |
| 3  | MR. MEISNER: Correct. They don't.                |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: So then where                       |
| 5  | MR. MEISNER: But there is, there's a             |
| 6  | separate [unintelligible] [00:40:56].            |
| 7  | MR. ZACHARY: But there's parking there           |
| 8  | that says residents and/or employee parking. So  |
| 9  | it's not really, otherwise, unless they want to  |
| 10 | leave it locked up, so you see my confusion.     |
| 11 | MS. ABSENGER: Yes.                               |
| 12 | MR. MEISNER: The gate's not locked. I            |
| 13 | mean it's not locked, it's closed.               |
| 14 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I can change that             |
| 15 | output.  |
| 16 | MR. MEISNER: And the gate's not locked,          |
| 17 | it's closed if they need to get in and out.      |
| 18 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That was probably an          |
| 19 | oversight since the change from the initial, you |
| 20 | know, submission. So I can change that to remove |
| 21 | the residents.                                   |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Another question.             |
| 23 | There was a there's a [unintelligible]           |
| 24 | [00:41:31] going to the south, which was .57     |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | acres and a small parcel to the north, which I    |
| 3  | believe was .16 acres or something like that.     |
| 4  | Now, you own both of those now. Are they merged   |
| 5  | into a single lot?                                |
| 6  | MR. MEISNER: I think.                             |
| 7  | MR. ZACHARY: And you're showing truck             |
| 8  | parking beyond your property line facing east and |
| 9  | is that property that you have permission to use? |
| 10 | Those are your trucks parked on that portion as   |
| 11 | well.   |
| 12 | MR. MEISNER: That's correct.                      |
| 13 | MR. ZACHARY: And you've got                       |
| 14 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well                           |
| 15 | MR. ZACHARY: And that's, that property            |
| 16 | is owned by the railroad? Is that property you've |
| 17 | got permission to use? How does this work?        |
| 18 | MR. MEISNER: So we reached out to MTA.            |
| 19 | MTA came to our property. We're in the works of   |
| 20 | either trying to lease it or purchase that little |
| 21 | bit of property from them.                        |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: And for now, you just                |
| 23 | MR. MEISNER: We do not have a response            |
| 24 | yet, but [unintelligible] [00:42:22].             |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. ZACHARY: And in the interim,               |
| 3  | they're just letting you use it, or            |
| 4  | MR. MEISNER: Correct.                          |
| 5  | MR. ZACHARY: All right.                        |
| 6  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Apparently, it's            |
| 7  | something quite common on this MTA property up |
| 8  | and down from Yonkers all the way up to        |
| 9  | Poughkeepsie, it's something that happens.     |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: People park on their              |
| 11 | property, or they give easements or            |
| 12 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.                        |
| 13 | MR. MEISNER: We have a whole easement          |
| 14 | [unintelligible] [00:42:47].                   |
| 15 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: They do have a whole        |
| 16 | easement [unintelligible] [00:42:47], they've  |
| 17 | been, they've actually attempted many times to |
| 18 | actually get in touch and find out what the    |
| 19 | status of it is, but they keep telling them    |
| 20 | [unintelligible] [00:42:55].                   |
| 21 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                             |
| 22 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: They have tried.            |
| 23 | MR. ZACHARY: So it might be an informal        |
| 24 | thing now that you're trying to make official. |

|    | Page 54   |
|----|---|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
| 2  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: That's correct.                |
| 3  | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Okay. Let us know              |
| 4  | how that goes.                                    |
| 5  | MR. POMMER: Mr. Chairman?                         |
| 6  | MR. ZACHARY: Yes?                                 |
| 7  | MR. POMMER: If they do not get that               |
| 8  | access, how would that affect their operation?    |
| 9  | MR. ZACHARY: Did you hear that                    |
| 10 | question?   |
| 11 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I don't think it's             |
| 12 | going to affect it.                               |
| 13 | MR. ZACHARY: Great question.                      |
| 14 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: If they did not get            |
| 15 | it, they'd have to remove those vehicles from     |
| 16 | there and that would be that.                     |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: You'd be fine with                   |
| 18 | whatever, with the space you have?                |
| 19 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, we'll be                 |
| 20 | gaining the additional space.                     |
| 21 | MR. ZACHARY: So, whether you're parking           |
| 22 | there, you'd still didn't have that .16 acres. So |
| 23 | that, I feel you'd like to have both though is    |
| 24 | what I'm guessing, what I'm sensing.              |

2.4

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct.

MR. POMMER: Thank you.

MR. ZACHARY: Do you have any other?

MR. POMMER: There's some more technical end. One of the other items that came up were those trucks backing into the site. It's not mentioned in the memo but it came up in the discussions. I think that's been -- that shouldn't be taking a truck and backing it up.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'm happy to -- you want to make that a condition, you know, I think if we, you know, when we move the fence out and we gain some extra area, it'll make it a lot easier for a truck to pull in forward and be able to back up.

MR. MEISNER: So we did have an issue with delivery trucks coming in and then backing out to Route 9. So what we did, we put some signs there, we make them all check in with the office first. We have them turn around in the yard, so they can pull out nose out.

MR. POMMER: Okay. It was, looked like not only delivery trucks, but trucks that were

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | looking to be serviced, it looked like they it    |
| 3  | was tractor trailers, maybe they were delivery    |
| 4  | trucks. But as they were being backed into the    |
| 5  | site and then parking.                            |
| 6  | MR. MEISNER: Well backed into our                 |
| 7  | property?   |
| 8  | MR. POMMER: Yeah, they were blocking              |
| 9  | two lanes of the Route 9A and then backing in.    |
| 10 | MR. MEISNER: Well every now and then,             |
| 11 | yes, there's a tractor trailer delivery that's    |
| 12 | going to have to back into the property           |
| 13 | [unintelligible] [00:45:11].                      |
| 14 | MR. POMMER: Is there no sufficient turn           |
| 15 | around room in the asphalt area?                  |
| 16 | MR. MEISNER: There is. But it would               |
| 17 | have to be 100 percent clear of [unintelligible]  |
| 18 | [00:45:21].                                       |
| 19 | MR. POMMER: Okay. So                              |
| 20 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: With the addition of           |
| 21 | the property to the north, that's what I'm trying |
| 22 | to say, all that's going to make things easier    |
| 23 | for them and safer for them to operate the        |
| 24 | business.   |

out.

2

1

MR. POMMER: Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Then a tractor trailer could pull in, pull up to the metal building where they do the repair work and back up into the portion that's the yard and then pull

MR. POMMER: Can I ask that the plan be revised to show how a truck would, you know, the swing area for turning around? The turning radius for the largest vehicle you've got.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.

MR. POMMER: I mean even if you have -you might have cars parked there occasionally when you know there's not trucks coming, whatever that situation, you know, showing that that asphalt has to be blank at all times, but you have to have the ability, where you make a turn around when you need it to.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah.

MR. POMMER: Thank you. And the other comment is you show these areas as gravel. I looked at some of the [unintelligible] [00:46:36].

| 1  | Page 58<br>June 26, 2025                          |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. ZACHARY: Where is that?                       |
| 3  |   |
|    | MR. POMMER: It says gravel vehicle                |
| 4  | storage area, gravel vehicle storage, right.      |
| 5  | MR. ZACHARY: Oh. That's the new, that's           |
| 6  | the parcel to the north. Is that gravel           |
| 7  | currently?  |
| 8  | MR. POMMER: Yes.                                  |
| 9  | MR. MEISNER: No, it's just dirt.                  |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: It says gravel                       |
| 11 | [unintelligible] [00:46:46].                      |
| 12 | MR. POMMER: When was the gravel in the            |
| 13 | village, was that but that wasn't your            |
| 14 | property. Is that correct?                        |
| 15 | MR. MEISNER: It's our property.                   |
| 16 | MR. POMMER: When did they purchase that           |
| 17 | property?   |
| 18 | MR. MEISNER: [unintelligible]                     |
| 19 | [00:47:02] I believe [unintelligible] [00:47:03]. |
| 20 | MR. POMMER: I thought that                        |
| 21 | [unintelligible] [00:47:07].                      |
| 22 | MR. COOK: It was owned by the Clear               |
| 23 | Channel Advertising for years.                    |
| 24 | MR. POMMER: Okay.                                 |
|    |   |

1 June 26, 2025 2 MR. COOK: The village banned signage 3 like billboards, so we had several properties 4 like that. We had seen the property was being 5 used, and they were in the process of buying it when I first started speaking with them. And they 6 7 did purchase that triangle piece [unintelligible] 8 [00:47:28] which extends their property line. 9 MR. POMMER: Right. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. COOK: But they do own that now.

MR. ZACHARY: Okay. And just what is the issue with the gravel?

MR. POMMER: So if a truck is leaking oils, fuel, whatnot, it gets into the ground. That's a problem. So the DEC would consider it a hot spot.

MR. SERRANO: That would carry on to the interior property too.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I do not believe the DEC considers existing sites hot spots.

MR. POMMER: This is not existing. This area right here is existing.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Well, you can't say half the site is a hot spot and half, the other

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | half isn't. That's what I've been told. DEC       |
| 3  | can't, they can't make somebody who has a hot     |
| 4  | spot which is technically like [unintelligible]   |
| 5  | [00:48:14] right, that's one of the definitions   |
| 6  | of hot spot. So if you have an existing site and  |
| 7  | you operate, like a bus company or something      |
| 8  | like, that is fleet storage, they can't make you  |
| 9  | abandon that use. That's what I've been told,     |
| 10 | because it's an existing use. If you are          |
| 11 | MR. ZACHARY: If you are expanding                 |
| 12 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: if you are                     |
| 13 | proposing to build a brand new facility like      |
| 14 | that, then you are subject to the storm water     |
| 15 | [unintelligible] [00:48:41]. But I would also     |
| 16 | like to point out that recently the DEC has       |
| 17 | accepted millings as [unintelligible] [00:48:49]. |
| 18 | [CROSSTALK]                                       |
| 19 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: You can check that.            |
| 20 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. I will. The new                |
| 21 | code?   |
| 22 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yes.                           |
| 23 | MR. ZACHARY: The 20                               |
| 24 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: They have accepted             |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | millings because it was a no-no before but now  |
| 3  | MR. POMMER: Correct.                            |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: What are millings?                 |
| 5  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Millings are asphalt         |
| 6  | that have been, you know, chewed up from older  |
| 7  | projects.                                       |
| 8  | MR. POMMER: You know the truck, the big         |
| 9  | machine takes the highway up and puts it in the |
| 10 | [unintelligible] [00:49:10].                    |
| 11 | MR. ZACHARY: So it's basically gravel           |
| 12 | made of asphalt?                                |
| 13 | MR. SERRANO: It's asphalt. It's                 |
| 14 | asphalt.  |
| 15 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: It's ground up.              |
| 16 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. And when it gets             |
| 17 | hot, there's enough seep, because it sort of    |
| 18 | MR. SERRANO: Oil.                               |
| 19 | MR. ZACHARY: melts back together                |
| 20 | like [unintelligible] [00:49:23]?               |
| 21 | MR. MEISNER: A little, it actually does         |
| 22 | a little bit.                                   |
| 23 | MR. POMMER: It packs. It's still a              |
| 24 | little gravely, but it does.                    |
|    |   |

1 June 26, 2025 MR. SERRANO: The run off is still 2 3 efficient, it's not [unintelligible] [00:49:35] 4 asphalt, but --MR. ZACHARY: Right. And that better be 5 where trucks park, not where you'd be working. 6 7 MR. MEISNER: Right, correct. The work 8 only happens in the metal building, on the side 9 parking lot for the metal building outside of it. 10 That's where it happens. 11 MR. ZACHARY: Anything else, George? 12 MR. POMMER: No. When you bring back 13 [unintelligible] [00:50:01], you're showing the 14 trucks lined up on one parcel, but it says 15 proposed truck parking area. So that whole area 16 between the fence and the trucks that are show 17 then, there's all of the trucks, so --18 MR. MEISNER: Correct. It may not be as 19 condensed, but we'll certainly have the ability 20 to park trucks up to the fence. 21 MR. ZACHARY: Or you could leave that 22 grass there as a nice putting area.

> Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

comments from September?

MR. POMMER: And then you have our

23

24

2.4

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Correct.

MR. POMMER: Okay, I believe we did
mention refuse storage I didn't see on the plan.
And then to the chairman's point a moment ago,
when someone does get a vehicle and they're on
the other side of the fence, it doesn't look like
there's a place to park there on the other side
of the fence. Or I'm sorry, on the other side of
the pavement, something else to think about.

## [CROSSTALK]

MR. ZACHARY: What was that?

MR. POMMER: A moment ago, when we were talking about the existing people who live there do not have a car. So they, there is no need for them to have parking. But when there is a need for them to have to parking or they do get a license, where would they park if they were going to be on the outside of the gate?

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  ANNICCHIARICO: You mean the residents that required parking.

MR. COOK: Two.

MR. ZACHARY: Two.

## Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

| June 26, 2025 |
|---------------|
|---------------|

| MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I mean the way I            |    |
|--|----|
| would look at it is right where we show it, th | еу |
| would get a key to the gate and be able to go. |    |
| MR. ZACHARY: Well where were you               |    |

MR. ZACHARY: Well where were you referring to, yeah. You were referring them parking on the other side of the building. You have room between the property line and the building. Is that where you were thinking?

MR. COOKING: No.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Yeah, sure.

MR. COOK: They're working to [unintelligible] [00:52:04] areas to the grass.

MR. ANNICCHIARICO: We're now talking about access to the apartment, correct? Because that's on the outside of the gate.

MR. ZACHARY: Right. We're talking about where, like even if your tenants have no cars, two parking spots are required for them.

MR. COOK: Two per rental.

MR. ZACHARY: Two per rental. So where would you show those on this site plan? How could you show that? In that, somewhere between the property line and the house?

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669. New York, NY 10003

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. COOK: So we [unintelligible]                  |
| 3  | [00:52:37] previously eliminates that.            |
| 4  | MR. ZACHARY: Eliminate what?                      |
| 5  | MR. COOK: They have parking in that               |
| 6  | gravel area.                                      |
| 7  | MR. SERRANO: Yeah, but that's behind              |
| 8  | the gate.   |
| 9  | MR. COOK: Between the house.                      |
| 10 | MR. ZACHARY: Oh.                                  |
| 11 | MR. SERRANO: So it says no parking,               |
| 12 | gravel areas grass.                               |
| 13 | MR. MEISNER: So we were asked to                  |
| 14 | eliminate all parking in front of the residence.  |
| 15 | MR. ZACHARY: Right.                               |
| 16 | MR. MEISNER: Between Albany Post Road             |
| 17 | and the house itself.                             |
| 18 | MR. ZACHARY: Well, you're showing an              |
| 19 | employee a residents and/or employee parking      |
| 20 | three spaces. As long as two of those are         |
| 21 | designated for the apartment, you would just need |
| 22 | to show how they have access, should at some      |
| 23 | point, the person that lives there has cars.      |
| 24 | MR. MEISNER: I think like I said, you             |

1 June 26, 2025 2 know, they could have access to the, to the lock 3 on the gate and that would do. 4 MR. ZACHARY: Our code says two spots 5 per apartment. It doesn't say, oh your tenants don't drive, never mind, understood. 6 7 MR. COOK: They could also want to 8 specify the comment they made earlier about the 9 specific parking in M-1 zone under manufacturing. 10 That's a thought. It's two spaces for each three 11 employees. 12 MR. ZACHARY: Two spaces for each three 13 employees. 14 MR. COOK: In manufacturing. 15 MR. ZACHARY: Oh, okay, well. 16 MR. COOK: It's the closest we have. 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

MR. ZACHARY: It's the closest we have, two per three employees, which would mean six. I mean it would seem that you're close. We'll check the new calculation, but the four in the back and the three on the side, seven, it would seem to put you close, except we need to know that there's a way that the tenant could have to park there, there have to be spots taken for that

|    | Dago 6   |
|----|--|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
| 2  | tenant. I'll put it all                          |
| 3  | MR. SERRANO: But this way, when they             |
| 4  | have no car there, somebody else can park there. |
| 5  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'll clear all that           |
| 6  | up. I'll give you a chart, I'll give you all of  |
| 7  | our thoughts behind it.                          |
| 8  | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                               |
| 9  | MR. COOK: Again, it's just under the             |
| 10 | [unintelligible] [00:54:33], under the           |
| 11 | [unintelligible] [00:54:34].                     |
| 12 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Right.                        |
| 13 | MR. COOK: That's what I found.                   |
| 14 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Thank you.                    |
| 15 | MR. FAIELLA: Mr. Chairman, when we did           |
| 16 | the White Street project, we made it mandatory   |
| 17 | that they had some type of [unintelligible]      |
| 18 | [00:54:47] plan and also have some               |
| 19 | [unintelligible] [00:54:49] plans for all these  |
| 20 | vehicles, because now you have them parking on   |
| 21 | gravel and I don't know what on the              |
| 22 | [unintelligible] [00:54:57]. So do you presently |
| 23 | use drip pans when someone brings you a vehicle  |
| 24 | when parked?                                     |

MR. MEISNER: Yeah, that's our company policy. Anything that comes in for a leak has to have a drain pan underneath it immediately so we'll be able to try to transpire later to stop the leak.

MR. FAIELLA: Well, it's not just for leaks, it's supposed to be any commercial vehicle that's parking, you're supposed to have a drip pan and wheel chocks put down.

MR. MEISNER: So for every vehicle on the property, you have to have a drain pan for it, underneath of it?

MR. FAIELLA: Yes. Look it up in the DEC. They're supposed to have that, so, you're only going to protect the environment that way. That's all I have, chair.

MR. ZACHARY: Thank you. I'd like to, not knowing much about drip pans, can you explain what that is?

MR. FAIELLA: It's just a pan that goes underneath the vehicle when the operator operates a commercial vehicle, when they get out of the vehicle they're supposed to put down a pan if

|    | Do mo CO   |
|----|--|
| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
| 2  | they do any type of seepage or oil.              |
| 3  | MR. ZACHARY: This would be a pan?                |
| 4  | MR. FAIELLA: A pan, correct.                     |
| 5  | MR. ZACHARY: A pan that you put under            |
| 6  | the  |
| 7  | MR. MEISNER: Engine.                             |
| 8  | MR. ZACHARY: or something.                       |
| 9  | MR. COOK: Yeah, wherever they see a              |
| 10 | leak, so.  |
| 11 | MR. SERRANO: And they have pans also.            |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: So it's not something that          |
| 13 | feeds into a                                     |
| 14 | MR. SERRANO: No.                                 |
| 15 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay.                               |
| 16 | MR. MEISNER: But you're saying every             |
| 17 | vehicle parked on the property has to have these |
| 18 | even if it's not leaking?                        |
| 19 | MR. FAIELLA: Every commercial vehicle            |
| 20 | is supposed to have some type of fire kit, a     |
| 21 | wheel chock and a drip pan.                      |
| 22 | MR. MEISNER: In it.                              |
| 23 | MR. FAIELLA: In it and then when you're          |
| 24 | parked, it's supposed to go underneath it, so.   |
|    |  |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | Because I know you have [unintelligible]          |
| 3  | [00:56:23] commercial maintenance shop. But just  |
| 4  | look it up under the DEC. I'm sure it's in there. |
| 5  | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: I'll have to do                |
| 6  | that.   |
| 7  | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. Thank you. New one             |
| 8  | on me, drip pans. [unintelligible] [00:56:47].    |
| 9  | MR. FAIELLA: Now, Mr. Cook, what type             |
| 10 | of fire suppression is in the maintenance         |
| 11 | buildings now?                                    |
| 12 | MR. COOK: Just fire extinguishers, the            |
| 13 | correct size, correct location.                   |
| 14 | MR. FAIELLA: That's all I have, Mr.               |
| 15 | Chairman.   |
| 16 | MR. ZACHARY: So I think, if nobody else           |
| 17 | has any questions or comments, we have a few      |
| 18 | things then that we need to pull together, a      |
| 19 | couple of site plan revisions for next time. And  |
| 20 | so do [unintelligible] [00:57:30] here, Marcus?   |
| 21 | MR. SERRANO: No.                                  |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: So that being the case, I            |
| 23 | would say we leave it at this right now and       |
| 24 | MR. SERRANO: Do you want to call for a            |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                     |
|----|---|
| 2  | public hearing?                                   |
| 3  | MR. ZACHARY: No, I think we need at               |
| 4  | least some [unintelligible] [00:57:43] public.    |
| 5  | We're still resolving things. Let's get together  |
| 6  | next meeting to follow up on these things and see |
| 7  | if any other questions come up. You can get back  |
| 8  | to us on some of the issues that were being       |
| 9  | [unintelligible] [00:57:58] tonight and then      |
| 10 | [unintelligible] [00:58:03] we'll set up a public |
| 11 | hearing. I don't want to do that now.             |
| 12 | MR. SERRANO: I don't think we'll get              |
| 13 | any negative feedback from the public, so         |
| 14 | [unintelligible] [00:58:14].                      |
| 15 | MR. ANNICCHIARICO: Can I just ask any             |
| 16 | meetings during the summer are cancelled?         |
| 17 | MR. SERRANO: Fourth of July maybe.                |
| 18 | [CROSSTALK]                                       |
| 19 | MR. ZACHARY: The village board meeting?           |
| 20 | MR. SERRANO: The next planning board              |
| 21 | meeting is on the 24th, July 24th.                |
| 22 | MR. ZACHARY: Okay. [unintelligible]               |
| 23 | [00:58:45].                                       |
| 24 | [CROSSTALK]                                       |
|    |   |

| 1  | June 26, 2025                                    |
|----|--|
| 2  | MR. SERRANO: Friday.                             |
| 3  | MR. ZACHARY: Thank you. We'll see you            |
| 4  | next month. Thank you.                           |
| 5  | MR. SERRANO: Have a good night.                  |
| 6  | MS. ABSENGER: So we have nothing going           |
| 7  | [unintelligible] [00:59:05].                     |
| 8  | MR. ZACHARY: All right, the next item            |
| 9  | on our agenda is at [unintelligible] [00:59:27], |
| 10 | how do you say that?                             |
| 11 | [CROSSTALK]                                      |
| 12 | MR. ZACHARY: Do we need a motion?                |
| 13 | MR. SERRANO: Yes.                                |
| 14 | MR. FAIELLA: I make a motion we                  |
| 15 | adjourn.   |
| 16 | MS. ABSENGER: Second.                            |
| 17 | MR. ZACHARY: Motion we adjourn and               |
| 18 | second. All in favor?                            |
| 19 | MULTIPLE: Aye.                                   |
| 20 | MR. ZACHARY: Good night everyone.                |
| 21 | (The public board meeting concluded at           |
| 22 | 8:00 p.m.)                                       |
| 23 |  |

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Planning Board meeting of the Village of Buchanan on June 26, 2025 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Philot

Date: July 18, 2025

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

228 Park Ave S - PMB 27669

New York, NY 10003